SINGLE BENCH

CF/1002 (4)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 671 2006 7

Petitioner .....Smt. Anuradha Pandey
Aged 37 years
W/O Shri VivikPrakash Sharma,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. M.G.-1 Middle School, Durg,
,District, Durg
(C.G.)

R.R. No. 76562 S.K. Verma
Presented on 25/11/07
dated

V E R S U S

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The ~~Block~~ District Education Officer,
Durg (C.G)

RECEIVED-COPY
25/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226/~~227~~ of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, Certiorari, Prohibition and other suitable WRIT or writs, Order or orders, Direction or directions.

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 669 OF 2007

Petitioners : Shiv Lal Chandra and others

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 670 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ku. Ratna Sudha Ramteke

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 671 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Anuradha Pandey

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

And

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 672 OF 2007

Petitioner : Dileep Kumar Verma

versus

Respondents : The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Somkant Verma, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the State/ respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 6th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Penal lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the

case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has pointed out the circular dated 13.9.1974 (Annexure P/1), whereunder according to the petitioners, the petitioners, who had obtained B.Ed. Examination Certificates before appointment, are also entitled to two advance increments. The respondents/State, while considering the cases of the petitioners, shall grant the relief, in accordance with the circular (supra).

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact asto whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Thakur